

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspoj.cov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,424	08/30/2001	Avi Kliger	TIA-001	7853
72822 Weiss & Aron	7590 01/19/201 s I I P	0	EXAMINER	
1540 Route 20	2, Suite 8		DECKER, CASSANDRA L	
Pomona, NY 10970			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2466	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 09/943,424 Filing Date: August 30, 2001 Appellant(s): KLIGER ET AL.

> Joel Weiss For Appellant

EXAMINER'S ANSWER

This is in response to the appeal brief filed 22 December 2009 appealing from the Office action mailed 12 June 2009.

Art Unit: 2466

(1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

(2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

(4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

(5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

(6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

The following grounds of rejection are not presented for review on appeal because they have been withdrawn by the examiner. The rejection of independent claims 71, 82, and 96 under 35 USC 103(a) over Bushmitch et al. (US 6950399) in view of Silverman (US 6307862) and Bell (US 6229818).

Art Unit: 2466

(7) Claims Appendix

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

(8) Evidence Relied Upon

6081519	Petler	6-2000
6229818 b1	Bell	5-2001
6307862 b1	Silverman	10-2001

(9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

 Claims 71, 82, and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petler (US 6081519) in view of Silverman (US 6307862) and Bell (US 6229818).

For Claim 71, Petler teaches, in a home network having a plurality of network modules, each of said plurality of network modules being connected to a coax backbone, a method for communicating over the coax backbone between the plurality of network modules (see column 4 lines 34-50), the method comprising:

using the master module to receive requests sent over the coax backbone from the plurality of network modules for bandwidth to transmit bursts (see column 6 lines 8-23, column 10 lines 20-50);

Art Unit: 2466

establishing an order of transmission opportunities for the plurality of network modules to follow when transmitting bursts to other network modules via the coax backbone (see column 6 lines 24-45, column 2 lines 9-34); and

using the master module to transmit an allocation burst over the coax backbone that allocates a transmission opportunity to each of the plurality of network modules to transmit bursts to other network modules via the coax backbone (see column 2 lines 9-55, column 7 lines 20-45), said transmission opportunity that depends at least in part on the amount of data ready for transmission in a selected transmission cycle (see column 6 lines 8-23 and 45-67), said allocation burst being based on said transmission order (see column 6 lines 9-34).

As applied above, Petler does not teach one of said plurality of network modules being a network master module; and establishing direct communication between the plurality of the network modules and a demarcation point unit, said plurality of network modules being coupled to the demarcation point unit via the coax backbone, said demarcation point unit providing an interface between the home network and an external network, said demarcation point unit being separate from the master module.

However, Silverman teaches one of said plurality of network modules being a network master module (see Figure 5 and column 2 line 60 to column 4 line 9); and establishing direct communication between the plurality of the network modules and a demarcation point unit, said plurality of network modules being coupled to the demarcation point unit via the coax backbone, said demarcation point unit providing an interface between the home network and an external network, said demarcation point

Art Unit: 2466

unit being separate from the master module (see Figure 5 and column 2 line 60 to column 4 line 9: Figure 8 and column 4 line 66 to column 5 line 19).

Thus it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the demarcation unit and master module taught by Silverman in the home networking method taught by Petler. The motivation for doing so would be to provide a secure means to separate the home network from the cable delivery network while allowing existing wiring to be used for the home network.

The references as applied above do not teach establishing direct communication between each of the plurality of network modules over the coax backbone. However, Bell teaches establishing direct communication between each of the plurality of network modules over the coax backbone (see column 5 lines 22-59, column 6 lines 54-64, and column 8 lines 17-25).

Thus it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to allow the network modules to communicating direct over an existing physical medium (see column 2 lines 6-12), as taught by Bell. The motivation for doing so would be to reduce the load on the master module taught by Silverman and improve the throughput in the home network

For Claim 82, Petler teaches a home network comprising:

a coax backbone (see column 4 lines 33-50);

a plurality of network modules, each of said plurality of network modules being connected to the coax backbone (see column 4 lines 33-50).

Art Unit: 2466

said plurality of network modules being in communication via at least one splitter over the coax backbone (see column 4 lines 33-62, Figure 1 item 220); and

the master module that receives requests from the plurality of network modules over the coax backbone, the requests being for bandwidth to transmit bursts over the coax backbone to other network modules (see column 6 lines 8-23, column 10 lines 20-50),

the master module that establishes a transmission order of transmission opportunities for the plurality of network modules to follow when transmitting bursts to other network modules (see column 6 lines 24-45, column 2 lines 9-34) and that transmits a burst over the coax backbone that allocates a transmission opportunity to each of the plurality of network modules to transmit bursts (see column 2 lines 9-55, column 7 lines 20-45), said burst being based on said transmission order (see column 6 lines 9-34), said transmission order being based at least in part on said received requests (see column 10 lines 20-50, column 6 lines 8-67), wherein each of the plurality of network modules is configured to communicate with other network modules via the coax backbone (see column 6 lines 8-23) and wherein a parameter of a transmission opportunity for a selected network module depends at least in part on an amount of data ready for transmission at the selected network module in a selected transmission cycle (see column 6 lines 8-23, column 6 lines 45-67).

Petler does not teach said plurality of network modules being in direct communication with a demarcation point unit over the coax backbone; said demarcation point unit providing an interface between the home network and an external network.

Art Unit: 2466

said demarcation point unit being separate from a master module; and the network master module being connected to the coax backbone.

However, Silverman teaches said plurality of network modules being in direct communication with a demarcation point unit over the coax backbone (see Figure 5, column 2 line 60 to column 4 line 9, Figure 8, column 4 line 66 to column 5 line 19);

said demarcation point unit providing an interface between the home network and an external network, said demarcation point unit being separate from a master module (see Figure 5, column 2 line 60 to column 4 line 9, Figure 8, column 4 line 66 to column 5 line 19); and

the network master module being connected to the coax backbone (see Figure 5, column 2 line 60 to column 4 line 9, Figure 8, column 4 line 66 to column 5 line 19).

Thus it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the demarcation unit and master module taught by Silverman in the home networking method taught by Petler. The motivation for doing so would be to provide a secure means to separate the home network from the cable delivery network while allowing existing wiring to be used for the home network.

The references as applied above do not teach establishing direct communication between each of the plurality of network modules over the coax backbone. However, Bell teaches establishing direct communication between each of the plurality of network modules over the coax backbone (see column 5 lines 22-59, column 6 lines 54-64, and column 8 lines 17-25).

Art Unit: 2466

Thus it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to allow the network modules to communicating direct over an existing physical medium (see column 2 lines 6-12), as taught by Bell. The motivation for doing so would be to reduce the load on the master module taught by Silverman and improve the throughput in the home network.

For Claim 96, Petler teaches an integrated circuit storing computer-executable instructions which, when executed by a processor on a computer system, perform a method, the method comprising:

in a home network having a plurality of network modules, each of said plurality of network modules being connected to a coax backbone, said plurality of network modules communicating over the coax backbone (see column 4 lines 34-50), the communicating comprising:

using the master module to receive requests sent over the coax backbone from the plurality of network modules for bandwidth to transmit bursts (see column 6 lines 8-23, column 10 lines 20-50);

in response to receiving the requests, establishing an order of transmission opportunities for the each of the plurality of network modules to follow when transmitting bursts to other network modules (see column 6 lines 24-45, column 2 lines 9-34); and

using the master module to transmit an allocation burst over the coax backbone that allocates a transmission opportunity to each of the plurality of network modules to transmit bursts (see column 2 lines 9-55, column 7 lines 20-45), said allocation burst being based on said transmission order (see column 6 lines 9-34), said transmission

Art Unit: 2466

opportunity that depends at least in part on the amount of data ready for transmission in a selected transmission cycle (see column 6 lines 8-23 and 45-67).

Petler does not teach one of said plurality of network modules being a network master module, establishing direct communication between two or more of the plurality of network modules over the coax backbone; and establishing direct communication between two or more of the plurality of the network modules and a demarcation point unit, said plurality of network modules being coupled to the demarcation point unit via the coax backbone, said demarcation point unit providing an interface between the home network and an external network, said demarcation point unit being separate from the master module.

However, Silverman teaches one of said plurality of network modules being a network master module (see Figure 5 and column 3 lines 12-28), establishing direct communication between two or more of the plurality of network modules over the coax backbone (see Figure 5 and column 3 lines 12-28); and establishing direct communication between two or more of the plurality of the network modules and a demarcation point unit, said plurality of network modules being coupled to the demarcation point unit via the coax backbone, said demarcation point unit providing an interface between the home network and an external network, said demarcation point unit being separate from the master module (see column 2 line 60 to column 4 line 9; Figure 8 and column 4 line 66 to column 5 line 19).

Thus it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the demarcation unit and master module taught by Silverman in the

Art Unit: 2466

home networking method taught by Petler. The motivation for doing so would be to provide a secure means to separate the home network from the cable delivery network while allowing existing wiring to be used for the home network.

The references as applied above do not teach establishing direct communication between each of the plurality of network modules over the coax backbone. However, Bell teaches establishing direct communication between each of the plurality of network modules over the coax backbone (see column 5 lines 22-59, column 6 lines 54-64, and column 8 lines 17-25).

Thus it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to allow the network modules to communicating direct over an existing physical medium (see column 2 lines 6-12), as taught by Bell. The motivation for doing so would be to reduce the load on the master module taught by Silverman and improve the throughput in the home network.

(10) Response to Argument

In the appeal brief, applicant submits that Petler cannot be combined with Silverman and Silverman cannot be combined with Bell to teach the independent claims 71, 82, and 96.

With respect to applicant's confusion over which device might play the role of the master module, please refer to the cited portions of Petler (see column 6 lines 8-23, column 10 lines 20-50), from which it should be clear that the Broadband Network Unit (BNU) (see item 110/120 in Figure 1) provides the claimed functions of the master

Art Unit: 2466

module which are taught by Petler. The claimed functions of the master module which are taught by Silverman are provided by the LAN controller in Figure 5 (see also column 2 line 60 to column 4 line 9 for a detailed description of the LAN controller functions).

With respect to applicant's argument that Petler teaches away from a combination with a home network method as taught by Silverman, please refer to the entire paragraph of Petler from which applicant has quoted two lines out of context (column 2 lines 34-50). While Petler does indeed indicate that an "in-home coaxial network is not well suited for sending signals directly from one device to another", Petler also goes on to explain why ("the splitters in the home which allow cable TV signals to be distributed within the home are designed to exhibit low reflections for signals coming from within the home", which merely indicates a need to modify the splitters) and to teach one way of resolving the issue.

With respect to applicant's argument that the networks of Silverman and Petler cannot be combined because Petler's network sends local area network (LAN) signals out of the home to the BNU while Silverman's network keeps the LAN signals within the home, please refer to Petler (column 3 lines 8-16). Petler does indicate that it is counterintuitive to send LAN signals out to the BNU and back into the home, thereby providing motivation to move the pertinent functionality of the BNU into the home in order to keep the signals within the home, while retaining the advantages of Petler's network over more complex in-home networks.

With respect to applicant's argument that the networks of Petler and Silverman cannot be combined because Petler's system sends LAN signals outside the home

Art Unit: 2466

while Silverman's system keeps LAN signals inside the home (p. 8 line 13 to p. 9 line 7 of the brief), it should be noted, as indicated in the preceding paragraph, that it is this difference which provides the motivation to combine the two systems.

Moreover, both systems are directed towards keeping LAN signals on the LAN and preventing LAN signals from entering the CATV network. Silverman accomplishes this through the use of a demarcation unit (see Silverman, column 5 lines 9-19). Petler accomplishes this through the BNU's function of distinguishing LAN signals either by routing indicators or by allocating particular time slots for LAN signals (see Petler, column 9 lines 10-25). If the bandwidth allocation functionality provided by the BNU is moved inside the home, then Silverman's demarcation unit may simply be substituted for the functions of the BNU which serve to keep LAN signals on the LAN.

It is unclear why applicant raises the issue of the sharing of network bandwidth with CATV signals when this feature is common to the systems of both Petler and Silverman. While Silverman teaches stripping out some CATV channels and using those channels for the LAN, Silverman also teaches an embodiment in which the LAN uses channels which lie outside the frequency band of the CATV signals (see Silverman, column 4 lines 59-65). Likewise, Petler teaches the LAN using channels which lie outside the frequency band of the CATV signals (see Petler, column 9 lines 40-56).

With respect to applicant's argument that Silverman requires exclusive use of bandwidth for LAN traffic while Petler uses a shared medium (p. 9 lines 8-16 of the brief), please consider that both systems use a particular portion of the bandwidth for

Art Unit: 2466

LAN traffic and both systems teach the use of a shared medium. In both systems, LAN devices use bandwidth which is not occupied by CATV channels as explained in the preceding paragraph. Meanwhile, in both systems, the transmission medium is shared among LAN devices through a time slot-based allocation of the medium (see Silverman, column 3 line 65 to column 4 line 9, and Petter, column 9 lines 56-64). The systems of Petter and Silverman are thus entirely compatible in these regards.

With respect to applicant's argument that the network of Silverman cannot coexist with the network of Bell because Silverman does not teach the use of a shared medium while Bell does (see page 9 line 17 to page 10 line 5 of the brief), it should be noted that Silverman does teach the use of the a shared transmission medium as explained in the preceding paragraph (see Silverman, column 3 line 65 to column 4 line 9). Therefore the LAN of Bell can coexist with the LAN of Silverman.

(11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

/Cassandra Decker/

Examiner, Art Unit 2466

Art Unit: 2466

Conferees:

/Daniel J. Ryman/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2466

/Chirag G Shah/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2477